UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
v. Carl Roderick Johnson	Case No. 1:09-cr-00263-RJJ
Defendant	
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail F that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I –	Findings of Fact
	d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U. which the prison term is 10 years or m	S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for ore.
an offense for which the maximum sentence	is death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in:
a felony committed after the defendant had bus.c. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ate or local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence but a minor victim	involves:
	m or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon S.C. § 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	I while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	e date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presperson or the community. I further find that defend	sumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another ant has not rebutted that presumption.
Alternative Findings (A)	
✓ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	ant has committed an offense
✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten year Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 e	
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
defendant's appearance and the safety of the com-	•
Alternative Findings (B) ✓ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
	•
✓ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community. Part II – Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing	
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that: 1. Defendant has no stable residence. 2. Defendant has no employment.	
3. Defendant faces the possibility of a 10-year-minimum set4. Defendant has previously failed to appear in court.	ntence.
5. Defendant has previously violated conditions of probation	٦.
6. Defendant has a history of substance abuse.	
Part III – Directio	ons Regarding Detention
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a	

Date: September 24, 2009 Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge

defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the